

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 5, 2021 **TG:** 1.18352.00

To: Ruji Ding– City of Mercer Island

From: Mike Swenson, PE, PTOE – Transpo Group

Subject: Mercer Island Parking Management Plan - Response to Comments

This memorandum is a response to the comments and redlines received on May 17, 2021 from the City of Mercer Island for the Mercer Island Mixed Use project. A revised parking management plan has been prepared and submitted with the comment responses incorporated. The comments and responses are also summarized below.

Document Review Comments:

Comment 1: Confirm that all square footages, number of apartment units, and parking numbers are consistent with the information reflected on the plans. Some of the numbers on the Parking Management Plan appear to differ slightly from what was presented to the Design Commission. Please note on this plan who prepared it and what firm they are with.

Development square footages and parking numbers have been updated to match September 30th, 2021 plans prepared by Johnston Architects, LLC.

Comment 2: Provide examples of EV stall and EV charging station signs.

EV stalls are open to all vehicles, not exclusively for EV vehicles. No additional signage or stall markings are identified. The charging equipment will be used to identify the EV use.

Comment 3: Describe the process for enforcement and staffing needs.

Enforcement to be provided by on-site management.

Comment 4: Please state this more clearly if on-site parking enforcement will be provided by building management.

Enforcement to be provided on-site management.

Comment 5: Are these EV stalls restricted for parking only for electric vehicles?

EV stalls are open to all vehicles, not exclusively for EV vehicles.

Comment 6: Is this an ADA stall and also EV? If so, they don't seem to be compatible uses since only ADA drivers would be able to charge here.

Correct, this was done intentionally to provide charging station for ADA users. Parking stall not only for EV vehicles.

Comment 7: This marked walkway appears to end abruptly at a wall. Is this an error? Shouldn't it connect to a destination such as an elevator or stairway?

Designated walkways removed from plans. Designated walkways not proposed on-site.

Comment 8: Where does this marked walkway take pedestrians?

Designated walkways removed from plans. Designated walkways not proposed on-site.

Comment 9: Seems like you need a ped crossing here.

Designated walkways removed from plans. Designated walkways not proposed on-site

Comment 10: Is this correct? It shows travel on the left side of opposing traffic rather than the normal right side.

Plans revised to correct direction of travel.

Comment 11: The traffic flows directions on level P1 is difficult to follow. Some lines are green while others are black. I can't tell why. The upper look doesn't seem to have a way out based on the directional arrows.

Plans revised to have consistent color scheme.

Comment 12: Please show all appropriate wayfinding on both floor plans.

Owner plans to hire signage consultant at later date.